WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT ORDER

April 16, 1996

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against STANLEY V. WOODARD, Attorney at Law.

Case No. 96-0884-D

On March 26, 1996, the Board of Attorneys Professional Responsibility filed its report recommending that the petition of Stanley V. Woodard for the revocation of his license to practice law be revoked by consent, pursuant to SCR 21.10 (1). petition, Mr. Woodard stated that he cannot successfully defend against misconduct allegations under investigation by the Board. Those allegations concern his use of his client trust account to make payments of personal obligations, his failure to maintain trust account records, including his receipt required disbursement of a client's funds, writing checks on those funds to himself for fees without adequately documenting the purpose of those transactions, and failing to account to a client for funds he received and disbursed on the client's behalf.

Attorney Woodard was admitted to the practice of law in Wisconsin in 1977 and practiced in Madison. His license to practice law is currently suspended pursuant to the three-year license suspension ordered by the court as discipline for his professional misconduct. Disciplinary Proceedings Against Woodard, 183 Wis. 2d 575, 515 N.W.2d 700 (1994).

IT IS ORDERED that the petition is granted and the license of Stanley V. Woodard to practice law in Wisconsin is revoked, pursuant to SCR 21.10 (1), effective the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Stanley V. Woodard comply with the provisions of SCR 22.26 concerning the duties of a person whose license to practice law in Wisconsin has been revoked.

SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, J., did not participate.

Marilyn L. Graves Clerk of Supreme Court

SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.:	96-0884-D
Complete Title of Case:	In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Stanley V. Woodard, Attorney at Law.
	DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST WOODARD
Opinion Filed: Submitted on Brie Oral Argument:	April 16, 1996 fs:
Source of APPEAL COURT: COUNTY JUDGE:	
JUSTICES: Concurre Dissented Not Parti	

ATTORNEYS: